

The Impact of the Cost of Oil Extraction on Economic Growth in Iraq: An Econometric Approach

Asst. Lecturer Ahmed Dawood Salman¹, Asst. Lecturer Ahmed Kadhim Dhaen², Asst. Lecturer Bilal Rasim³

^{1, 2, 3} College of Oil and Gas Engineering University of Technology/Iraq

Corresponding authors: ahmed.d.salman@uotechnology.edu.iq ;
ahmed.k.dhaen@uotechnology.edu.iq ; Hazimahmed.d.salman@uotechnology.edu.iq

Abstract. *General Background* The food and beverage sector in Indonesia, particularly the cake and snack category, exhibits significant growth driven by rising population numbers, urban expansion, and shifting consumer lifestyles. *Specific Background* Local culinary enterprises are capitalizing on domestic shifts from imported goods to home-produced items while utilizing modern digital trade platforms to reach broader audiences. *Knowledge Gap* Despite growing interest in artisanal food businesses, comprehensive empirical assessments integrating marketing mix frameworks, segmentation approaches, and digital adoption for legacy cookie brands remain limited. *Aims* This study examines the implementation of marketing strategies utilized by J&C Cookies Bandung in an effort to boost sales volume. *Results* The findings indicate that J&C Cookies effectively applies comprehensive marketing tactics, including digital marketing, branding, product development, pricing, distribution, and promotion, alongside a well-integrated 7P marketing mix and STP approach that successfully expands market share and raises sales. *Novelty* This research provides a contextual evaluation of combining traditional artisanal manufacturing with omnichannel digital distribution and targeted demographic positioning within the Indonesian cookie industry. *Implications* These insights offer a strategic benchmark for similar food and beverage enterprises seeking to adapt their marketing frameworks to contemporary digital business environments

Keywords Digital Marketing, Marketing Mix, STP, J&C Cookies, Strategy Marketing

1. Introduction

Iraq holds the worlds proven oil reserves, about 147 billion barrels. This makes Iraq a key player in energy markets. Iraq relies heavily on oil money for stability (BP Statistical Review, 2023), The link between oil extraction costs and economic growth, in Iraq is a not well studied part of energy economics. Iraq's unique history of sanctions, wars and poor infrastructure has changed how oil is extracted for the thirty years (Alkafaji & Abdulkareem 2021).

The cost of getting oil out of the ground includes different parts like the money spent on running the operations the money needed to buy new equipment the costs to keep everything working properly the money spent on keeping things safe and the funds used to update technology so that the oil can be produced more effectively (Al-Rubaie & Hassan 2020). In Iraq these costs have been greatly affected by problems, old and worn-out equipment rules from other countries that limit what can be done and the need to rebuild a lot after many wars happened (Jiyad, 2021). To be effective in the promotion of good economic conditions, the decision makers and planners must know what effect these expenditures have on the economy.

The idea of oil prices affecting the economy and being studied came from a theory called pot economics. This theory implies that if the cost of producing oil rises, the profits from oil diminish. This suggests that oil does not contribute to the income and growth of the nation as much as it could have (Hotelling, 1931; Solow, 1974). In oil-dependent countries like Iraq, however, the situation is more complicated. In this sense, the cost of oil extraction affects not only the income but also government revenue, employment, foreign income, and the general balance of the economy (Sachs & Alberstein 2001).

Previous work has largely concentrated upon oil price volatility. Its impact on the economy. The cost of producing oil has not been examined sufficiently as a potential determinant of economic performance (Hamilton, 2009; Kilian, 2009). This is the first study that fills the gap of understanding of how oil extraction costs, as a unique factor, impact on the economic development of Iraq. The timing of this study is critical with the recent developments in oil extraction technology, shifts in global energy patterns and the ongoing efforts by Iraq to develop its oil sector infrastructure.

This study is mainly designed to evaluate the impact of oil extraction costs on the development of Iraq. We use econometric methods that control for long-term trends, short-term fluctuations and possible structural breaks. Specifically, we plan to: (1) use cointegration analysis to test for the existence of an equilibrium relationship between extraction costs and economic

growth; (2) analyze the short-run dynamics of the economy using Vector Error Correction Models; (3) examine causal relationships using Granger causality tests; (4) test the robustness of the results to different diagnostic tests and model specifications; and (5) conclude with policy recommendations for sustainable economic development in Iraq.

This research adds to the existing literature in a number of ways. First, it provides empirical evidence on the relationship between extraction costs and economic growth for a major oil-producing developing country. Secondly, it applies econometric techniques appropriate to the specific characteristics of the Iraqi economy, which incorporates possible breaks in the system. Finally, it provides relevant policy implications for improving resilience in countries highly exposed to natural resources. In the end, it establishes a methodology that others can apply when examining comparable matters in different oil-exporting countries.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Theoretical Foundations

The relationship between natural resource extraction costs and economic growth is based on the principles derived from classical resource economics. Hotelling's (1931) seminal analysis of resources showed that the optimal extraction path for a resource must account for all economic rent, including extraction costs, when deciding on production quantities and when evaluating its influence on economic welfare. This suggests that when the extraction costs increase, the net present value of the resource stock decreases. This reduces the potential contribution of these assets to wealth and economic growth.

Solow's (1974) model generalized this approach by adding resources to growth theory. It implies that resource-based economies face particular challenges in sustaining growth over long horizons. These countries need to keep on investing the proceeds from resource rents, in capital such as factories or technology, to make up for the loss of resources. Rising costs of extraction, means, investments have less resources to work with. Harwick (1977) comments, that can choke growth, or indeed bring it to a halt if that is allowed to happen.

A related idea that makes this connection clear is the resource curse hypothesis, initially proposed by Sachs and Warner in 1995 and revisited in 2001. This theory predicts that resource abundant countries will grow at a slower rate in comparison to other countries. The factors include Dutch Disease, whereby resource exports cause currency appreciation which harms other sectors; poor institutions which mismanage resource wealth; and a disincentive to diversify the economy. These challenges may be exacerbated by rising costs of extraction. They reduce the benefits of having abundant resources, but still have the same institutional and structural problems. Ross (2019) supports this view, arguing that rising costs may exacerbate the effects of resource wealth.

2.2 Empirical Evidence on Resource Costs and Economic Growth

There is limited research that uses data to analyze the relationship between extraction costs and economic growth, as most research has examined the relationship between resource prices and production costs. Hamilton (2009) examined the effect of oil supply shocks on economic growth in the US. He discovered that supply-side shocks, typically signifying that extraction costs severely hinder GDP growth. Kilian (2009) also distinguished between oil price shocks that are demand-driven and those that are supply-driven. He demonstrated that disruptions in supply associated with production expenses have a more prolonged adverse impact on economic activity.

Arezki and Nabli (2012) studied how natural resource extraction affects growth in Middle Eastern countries, including Iraq. They found that economies that depend on resources are more likely to be affected by changes in extraction costs because they don't have economic diversity. Their analysis of panel data showed that a 1% rise in extraction costs is linked to a 0.8% drop in GDP growth over three years. This link is different for each country depending on the quality of its institutions and the structure of its economy.

Newer studies are starting to include extraction cost factors in studies about resource economics. Bjornland et al. (2019) Examined the operations of the Norwegian oil industry. They discovered that advancements in technology that reduce extraction expenses positively impact the entire economy. This results from investments, increased employment, and additional government funding. Their Vector Autoregression (VAR) analysis revealed that a 10% decrease in extraction expenses results in a 1.5% increase in GDP growth over a two-year period.

2.3 Iraq-Specific Economic Research

Studies on Iraq's oil economy have primarily focused on the impacts of events, trade embargoes, and reconstruction efforts rather than the expenses involved in oil extraction. Jiyad (2021) investigated the changes in the Iraqi oil sector since 2003 and found that extraction costs had greatly increased because of safety concerns, aging infrastructure, and the need for help from foreign experts. The financial implications of these rising costs were not the focus of the study.

Al-Rubaie and Hassan (2020) investigated the performance of the Iraqi oil production and found that the costs of extraction were much higher than in other areas as a result of the aging infrastructure, safety expenditures, and slow government processes. Their study showed that lowering oil extraction costs could improve the financial position of Iraq and stimulate economic growth. They refrained from using numbers to show this relationship.

Alkafaji and Abdulkareem (2021) investigated the relationship between oil revenue and economic growth in Iraq, using data

from 1980-2018. They found that an increase in oil revenue led to economic growth, but that this relationship weakened over time, perhaps as a result of higher extraction costs and poorer extraction techniques. The cost of oil extraction was not treated as a separate variable in their analysis.

Abbas and Al-Shuhaib (2019) also analyzed the effects of changes in the oil sector on the Iraqi economy. Improved techniques for oil extraction and cost reduction post-2014 led to economic improvement. Their research used a difference-in-differences approach. It showed that more efficient oil operations led to faster development in some regions. This showed that the price of getting oil affects the economy.

2.4 Methodological Approaches in Energy Economics

The econometric investigation of energy-growth relationships has evolved considerably over time. Modern studies use advanced time series methodologies to investigate the non-stationarity, cointegration and structural breaks issues. Ozturk (2010) summarized the major findings of the studies on the energy-growth relationship and emphasized the importance of using proper econometric methods to determine true causal relationships and to avoid obtaining misleading results. Vector Error Correction Models (VECM) have emerged as the standard method for analyzing long-term relationships in energy economics. Apergis and Payne (2010) showed that VECM works well in the analysis of the relationship between energy consumption and growth in a number of countries. VECM is able to identify short-term changes and long-term equilibrium, while controlling for endogeneity issues. The Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) models are preferred for their ability to incorporate variables with mixed orders of integration and to yield robust results even with small samples. The bounds testing approach for ARDL models was proposed by Pesaran et al. (2001). Today, ARDL models are commonly used in energy economics to find cointegration relationships, as they can avoid unit root tests.

2.5 Research Gaps and Contributions

Literature Review I have reviewed the literature. This research is designed to fill a number of gaps. First, there are no studies that have investigated extraction costs as a determinant of economic growth in oil-producing countries. A large portion of the literature focuses on the role of oil prices or the revenue (profit) generated, rather than the costs associated with extracting oil.

The second study, on Iraq, did not use econometric methods to test the relationship between the oil industry and economic growth, especially given the unique history of Iraq. The existing literature has largely ignored structural breaks in the relationship between oil and the economy, which is an important consideration for Iraq, given its history of sanctions, conflicts and major regime changes.

Fourth, the literature does not examine the role of extraction costs on different economic indicators, limiting important policy implications. This study attempts to bridge these gaps by providing a comprehensive econometric analysis of the effects of extraction costs on economic growth in Iraq. It uses time-series techniques that control for structural breaks and non-stationarity, and provides comprehensive policy recommendations based on reliable empirical evidence.

3. Methodology

3.1 Theoretical Framework

#Humanized task

The opportunity cost of environmental degradation: An application to Amazonian deforestation (English) The theoretical underpinning that this version of the analysis rests on is based on a production function. The function brings the costs of natural resource extraction into the center of production cost drivers. Based on the work of Solow (1974) and Hartwick (1977), we develop a model of growth. In such models, economic growth depends on capital and labor, and also on the net input of natural resources. Diminishing returns to resource use with increasing costs of natural resource extraction.

The overall form of the model can be expressed as:

$$Y_t = A_t K_t^\alpha L_t^\beta (R_t/C_t)^\gamma \quad (1)$$

Where Y_t is GDP, A_t is total factor productivity, K_t is capital stock, L_t is labor, R_t is oil resources, and C_t is oil extraction costs. The value of the oil reserves is given. That value decreases when the extraction costs rise. By taking logs and expressing everything in growth terms, the model becomes:

$$\Delta \ln Y_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \Delta \ln K_t + \alpha_2 \Delta \ln L_t + \alpha_3 \Delta \ln R_t - \alpha_4 \Delta \ln C_t + \varepsilon_t \quad (2)$$

This allows us to analyze the sensitivity of economic growth to changes in extraction costs, denoted C_t , in the presence of other growth determinants. We focus on extraction costs and economic growth.

3.2 Econometric Methodology

3.2.1 Unit Root Testing

Before proceeding with cointegration analysis, we test for the presence of unit roots in all variables using multiple approaches to ensure robustness. We employ the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test (Dickey & Fuller, 1981), Phillips-Perron (PP)

test (Phillips & Perron, 1988), and Kwiatkowski-Phillips-Schmidt-Shin (KPSS) test (Kwiatkowski et al., 1992).

The ADF test regression is specified as:

$$\Delta y_t = \mu + \beta t + \delta y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^k \phi_i \Delta y_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t \quad (3)$$

where the null hypothesis is $H_0: \delta = 0$ (unit root exists) against $H_1: \delta < 0$ (series is stationary).

3.2.2 Structural Break Testing

Given Iraq's turbulent economic history, we employ the Zivot-Andrews (1992) unit root test with structural breaks to account for potential changes in the data generating process. This test allows for one endogenous structural break in the series and is particularly relevant for Iraq given major events such as the Gulf War (1991), UN sanctions (1990-2003), Iraq War (2003), and ISIS conflict (2014-2017).

The Zivot-Andrews test regression includes break terms:

$$\Delta y_t = \mu + \beta t + \delta y_{t-1} + \theta DU_t + \gamma DT_t + \sum_{i=1}^k \phi_i \Delta y_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t \quad (4)$$

where DU_t and DT_t are dummy variables capturing breaks in intercept and trend, respectively.

3.2.3 Cointegration Analysis

We employ the Johansen (1988) cointegration procedure to test for long-run relationships among the variables. The Johansen method is based on the Vector Error Correction representation:

$$\Delta X_t = \Gamma_1 \Delta X_{t-1} + \dots + \Gamma_{k-1} \Delta X_{t-k+1} + \Pi X_{t-k} + \varepsilon_t \quad (5)$$

where X_t is a vector of endogenous variables, and Π contains information about long-run relationships. The rank of Π determines the number of cointegrating relationships.

3.2.4 Vector Error Correction Model (VECM)

After we confirm that cointegration holds we estimate a VECM so we can study both short-run dynamics and long-run equilibrium relationships. The VECM specification, for our variables is:

$$\Delta \ln GDP_t = \alpha_1 + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{1i} \Delta \ln GDP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^q \gamma_{1i} \Delta \ln EXTC_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^r \delta_{1i} \Delta \ln OILP_{t-i} + \lambda_1 ECT_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{1t} \quad (6)$$

where GDP is real gross domestic product, EXTC is oil extraction cost per barrel, OILP is oil production, ECT is the error correction term, and λ_1 represents the speed of adjustment to long-run equilibrium.

3.2.5 Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) Model

As a robustness check we also use the ARDL bounds testing approach introduced by Pesaran et al. (2001). This approach is effective for our analysis since it does not require all variables to be integrated of the same order. It also operates dependably when the sample size is limited.

The ARDL model specification is:

$$\Delta \ln GDP_t = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_{1i} \Delta \ln GDP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^q \alpha_{2i} \Delta \ln EXTC_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^r \alpha_{3i} \Delta \ln OILP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^s \alpha_{4i} \Delta \ln GOVR_{t-i} + \beta_1 \ln GDP_{t-1} + \beta_2 \ln EXTC_{t-1} + \beta_3 \ln OILP_{t-1} + \beta_4 \ln GOVR_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \quad (7)$$

Here GOVR is the abbreviation, for government oil revenues. In the example, p, q, r, and s represent the lag lengths, selected based on information criteria.

3.2.6 Granger Causality Testing

We apply Granger causality tests within the VECM framework to investigate the relationship between costs of extraction and economic growth. Since variables evolve simultaneously in the course of time, these variables are cointegrated and causality may emerge emanating from two sources. One runs causality, showing how changes in the cost of extraction affect GDP growth in the short run. This is seen in the lagged differences of the variables. Or, one may consider causality induced from the error correction part of the model. This term captures the manner in which the system reverts to long-run equilibrium after a shock.

To test whether extraction costs have a statistically significant effect on GDP growth, we look at the GDP growth equation. We test if the coefficients of the lagged extraction costs and the error correction term are jointly significant. If yes, it means that past extraction costs help predicting GDP growth both in the short and long run. Such information allows us to estimate if changes in costs of extraction have any effect on economic growth.

3.3 Data and Variables

3.3.1 Data Sources and Sample Period

The analysis covers the period from 1990 to 2022, providing 33 data points that demonstrate the evolution of the Iraqi economy over different phases of political and economic conditions. The data come from the Central Bank of Iraq (CBI), the Iraqi Ministry of Oil (MoO), the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the World Bank (WB), the Energy Information Administration (EIA), and the BP Statistical Review of World Energy.

3.3.2 Variable Definitions

Economic Growth (GDP): Real GDP growth rate is defined as the change in real GDP per year (in 2010 prices) in percentage terms. Economic growth (GDP) is a measure of economic performance and is the main dependent variable in our analysis.

Oil Extraction Costs (EXTC): The average cost of extracting one barrel of oil (in constant 2010 US dollars) including operating costs, capital costs amortized over the lifetime of the production, maintenance costs, and security costs (especially unique to operating in Iraq). These costs of oil extraction (EXTC) are calculated using data from the Iraqi Ministry of Oil and the oil companies working in Iraq.

Oil Production (OILP): Total extraction of crude oil is quantified in million barrels daily. The information is sourced from the Iraqi Ministry of Oil. Is validated against IEA and OPEC data. Oil Production (OILP) helps control for the scale of oil operations.

Government Oil Revenues (GOVR): The share of government revenue that comes from oil expressed as a percentage of government income. Government Oil Revenues (GOVR) shows how important the oil sector is to the budget and is the channel through which Oil Extraction Costs (EXTC) influence public finances.

Oil Price (PRICE): The average price of Iraqi crude oil exports measured in constant 2010 US dollars per barrel. The figures come from IEA and the Iraqi Ministry of Oil. Oil Price (PRICE) controls for price influences that could mix up the link between Oil Extraction Costs (EXTC) and economic growth.

Investment (INV): fixed capital formation, shown as a percentage of GDP shows how much the country invests in long-term assets. Investment (INV) reflects how oil sector profits and Oil Extraction Costs (EXTC) might change investment.

Inflation (INF): The change, in consumer prices. Inflation (INF) serves to regulate macroeconomic stability, which may influence the connection between Oil Extraction Costs (EXTC) and Economic Growth (GDP).

3.4 Model Specification

The empirical models are designed to reflect how extraction expenses impact growth. They examine both direct and indirect methods. Direct methods imply that the expense of resource extraction impacts growth negatively. Indirect methods imply that cost impacts variables, which subsequently influence growth. These models help show the picture of how extraction costs play a role, in economic development. The primary VECM specification includes the following long-run relationship:
$$\ln GDP_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln EXTC_t + \beta_2 \ln OILP_t + \beta_3 \ln GOVR_t + \beta_4 \ln PRICE_t + \beta_5 \ln INV_t + u_t \quad (8)$$

We expect $\beta_1 < 0$, indicating that higher extraction costs reduce economic growth, while $\beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5 > 0$, reflecting positive effects of production, government revenues, oil prices, and investment on growth.

3.5 Diagnostic Tests

To ensure the reliability of our econometric findings, we conduct a series of diagnostic tests. We utilize the Breusch-Godfrey LM test to assess autocorrelation. We additionally assess heteroscedasticity using the Breusch-Pagan test. We analyze if the residuals conform to a distribution by applying the Jarque-Bera test. We utilize both the CUSUM and CUSUM-sq tests to evaluate parameter stability. In addition, we conduct robustness analyses on lags specifications. We also consider techniques to the evaluation of the cost of extraction. We consider sub-samples to check whether our results are stable over time. This helps to rule out that our findings are driven by modeling choices or particular time periods.

4. Data Analysis and Results

4.1 Descriptive Statistics and Preliminary Analysis

Table 1 displays the descriptive statistics of each variable in the analysis. The figures reveal a transformation in Iraq's economic indicators over the sample period, mirroring a chaotic political and economic history..

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics (1990-2022)

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum	Skewness	Kurtosis	Jarque-Bera
GDP Growth (%)	2.47	12.68	-33.09	56.42	1.82	8.94	47.23***
Extraction Cost (\$/barrel)	18.45	8.73	6.80	42.15	1.35	4.12	9.84***
Oil Production (mb/d)	2.89	1.24	0.45	5.15	-0.23	2.89	0.34
Gov. Oil Rev. (% total)	87.34	12.67	58.90	98.70	-1.45	4.78	12.56***
Oil Price (\$/barrel)	45.78	28.94	12.30	108.50	0.67	2.34	2.89
Investment (% GDP)	16.23	7.89	3.45	32.10	0.45	2.67	1.23
Inflation (%)	15.67	18.43	-2.10	76.60	2.12	7.89	35.67***

Note: *** denotes significance at 1 percent level. mb/d=million barrels per day.

GDP growth is volatile with a standard deviation of 12.68 percent. This means that GDP growth is highly variable and at times GDP growth may drop sharply in wars and then likely recover fast. The largest GDP decline was -33.09% in 1991 during the Gulf War. The highest GDP growth, fifty-six point four two percent happened in 2004 after oil exports resumed following the Iraq War. Oil extraction costs vary a lot from six dollars and eighty cents per barrel in the 1990s to forty-two dollars and fifteen cents per barrel in 2007. The average oil extraction cost over the period was eighteen dollars and forty-five cents per barrel.

Iraq depends heavily on oil revenue. The share of oil revenue in total government revenue averages eighty-seven point three four percent. Never drops below fifty-eight point nine zero percent. This strong dependence makes the economy very sensitive to changes, in oil extraction costs because when oil extraction costs rise the government earns less and spends less.

4.2 Unit Root Test Results

Table 2 shows the results of unit root tests for all variables both in levels and in differences. The results of unit root tests give evidence about the integration properties of the variables. This suggests that the ARDL methodology is a fit because ARDL methodology can handle variables, with different integration orders.

Table 2: Unit Root Test Results

Variable	Levels			First Differences		
	ADF	PP	KPSS	ADF	PP	KPSS
lnGDP	-2.14	-2.08	0.78***	-5.67***	-5.89***	0.12
lnEXTC	-1.89	-1.76	0.82***	-4.23***	-4.35***	0.15
lnOILP	-2.34	-2.45	0.65**	-6.12***	-6.08***	0.09
lnGOVR	-3.15**	-3.08**	0.45	-7.23***	-7.34***	0.08
lnPRICE	-1.67	-1.72	0.89***	-5.45***	-5.52***	0.11
INV	-2.78*	-2.65*	0.54	-6.89***	-6.95***	0.06

Note: ***, **, * indicate significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively. Critical values: ADF/PP: -3.59 (1%), -2.93 (5%), -2.60 (10%); KPSS: 0.74 (1%), 0.46 (5%).

Most variables appear to be non-stationary, in levels but become stationary in differences. This indicates that variables are integrated of order one, I(1). However the government oil revenues variable and the investment variable show some evidence that stationarity already exists in levels. This mix of integration orders supports the use of an ARDL approach.

4.3 Structural Break Analysis

The Zivot-Andrews test results, which appear in Table 3 show that there are breaks in the key variables. The presence of breaks is clear, from the Zivot-Andrews test results and it confirms that our approach to account for Iraqs turbulent economic history is sound.

Table 3: Zivot-Andrews Structural Break Unit Root Test Results

Variable	ZA Statistic	Break Date	Critical Value (5%)	Conclusion
lnGDP	-5.23	2003	-4.80	Stationary with break
lnEXTC	-4.67	2007	-4.80	Unit root with break

Variable	ZA Statistic	Break Date	Critical Value (5%)	Conclusion
lnOILP	-5.89	1991	-4.80	Stationary with break
lnGOVR	-6.12	2003	-4.80	Stationary with break

The dates where the break was found match events in Iraqs recent past: 1991 (impact of the Gulf War on production) 2003 (Iraq War and change in leadership) and 2007 (height of violence between different groups and problems, with production). These breaks support the need to include structural break dummies in our models.

4.4 Cointegration Analysis

The Johansen cointegration test results, shown in Table 4 show that there are run relationships between the variables. The Johansen cointegration test results, shown in Table 4 show that there are run relationships between the variables. The Johansen cointegration test results, shown in Table 4 show that there are run relationships, between the variables.

Table 4: Johansen Cointegration Test Results

Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Max-Eigen Statistic	0.05 Critical Value
None *	0.7845	98.67	69.82	45.23	33.88
At most 1 *	0.6234	53.44	47.86	28.91	27.58
At most 2	0.4567	24.53	29.80	17.88	21.13
At most 3	0.2134	6.65	15.49	6.65	14.26

Note: * denotes rejection of the hypothesis at the 0.05 level. CE = Cointegrating Equations.

Both the trace statistic and the maximum eigenvalue statistic show that there are two cointegrating relationships, at the 5 percent significance level. This confirms that run equilibrium relationships exist among GDP, extraction costs, oil production, government revenues and other control variables.

The normalized cointegrating equation (long-run relationship) is:

$$\ln GDP = 8.45 - 0.23 \ln EXTC + 0.67 \ln OILP + 0.34 \ln GOVR + 0.18 \ln PRICE + 0.12 \ln INV \quad (9)$$

(t-stat) (-4.67)*** (5.23)*** (3.89)*** (2.45)** (2.78)***

The long-run coefficient on extraction costs (-0.23) indicates that a 1% increase in extraction costs is associated with a 0.23% decrease in GDP in the long run, confirming our theoretical expectation.

4.5 Vector Error Correction Model Results

Table 5 shows the VECM estimation results. It focuses on the GDP growth equation, a GDP growth equation that is my main interest.

Table 5: VECM Results - GDP Growth Equation

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
Error Correction Term	-0.387	0.098	-3.95	0.001***
$\Delta \ln \text{EXTC}(-1)$	-0.145	0.067	-2.16	0.041**
$\Delta \ln \text{EXTC}(-2)$	-0.098	0.054	-1.81	0.083*
$\Delta \ln \text{OILP}(-1)$	0.234	0.089	2.63	0.016**
$\Delta \ln \text{GOVR}(-1)$	0.167	0.078	2.14	0.043**
$\Delta \ln \text{PRICE}(-1)$	0.089	0.045	1.98	0.060*
$\Delta \ln \text{INV}(-1)$	0.078	0.034	2.29	0.032**
C	0.023	0.015	1.53	0.140

Note: ***, **, * indicate significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively. Δ denotes first difference.

The error correction term coefficient is negative at -0.387 and highly significant. This confirms that there is a run relationship between the variables. It also shows that 38.7 percent of any disequilibrium is corrected each year. This means the system adjusts quickly toward equilibrium. It takes 2.6 years for the full adjustment to take place.

In the run changes in extraction costs have a significant negative impact on GDP growth.. The one-period lag and two-period lag show negative coefficients. This suggests that increases in extraction costs hurt growth not just right away but continue to have negative effects, over time. The impact persists beyond the period.

4.6 ARDL Model Results

As a robustness check we estimate an ARDL(2,2,1,1,1) model. This model was chosen using the Akaike Information Criterion. Table 6 shows the results of the bounds test for cointegration.

Table 6: ARDL Bounds Test for Cointegration

Test Statistic		Value	k
F-statistic		6.847	5
Significance	I(0) Bound	I(1) Bound	
10%	2.08	3.00	
5%	2.39	3.38	
2.5%	2.70	3.73	
1%	3.06	4.15	

The F-statistic of 6.847 is higher than the value, for the 1% significance level, which shows cointegration among the variables and it also supports the ARDL approach that we used.

Table 7 shows the run ARDL coefficients. These results match the findings from the VECM analysis.

Table 7: ARDL Long-Run Coefficients

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
lnEXTC	-0.231	0.067	-3.45	0.002***
lnOILP	0.689	0.123	5.60	0.000***
lnGOVR	0.328	0.089	3.69	0.001***
lnPRICE	0.174	0.078	2.23	0.036**
INV	0.115	0.045	2.56	0.018**

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	8.234	1.567	5.25	0.000***

I find that the ARDL long-run coefficient for extraction costs is -0.231 which is almost identical, to the VECM result of -0.23 . This close match gives me confidence that our findings are robust. It shows that when extraction costs increase by 10 percent GDP will decrease by 2.31 percent in the run.

4.7 Granger Causality Test Results

Table 8 shows the results of the Granger causality test using the VECM framework.

Table 8: Granger Causality Test Results

Null Hypothesis	Chi-sq	df	Prob.	Decision
EXTC does not Granger cause GDP	12.67	2	0.002***	Reject
GDP does not Granger cause EXTC	8.23	2	0.016**	Reject
OILP does not Granger cause GDP	15.34	2	0.000***	Reject
GOVR does not Granger cause GDP	9.78	2	0.008***	Reject

The results show that there is a two-way Granger causality between extraction costs and GDP growth. This means that when extraction costs go up economic growth goes down. During periods of economic difficulty, it becomes increasingly challenging and costly to extract resources. This reciprocal relationship indicates that feedback effects are present. These impacts can result in more severe economic fluctuations within Iraq's oil-dependent economy.

4.8 Diagnostic Tests

Table 9 presents the diagnostic test outcomes for the VECM model to ensure that the econometric results are reliable.

Table 9: Diagnostic Test Results

Test	Statistic	Prob.	Conclusion
Breusch-Godfrey LM (Autocorrelation)	2.34	0.126	No autocorrelation
Breusch-Pagan (Heteroscedasticity)	18.67	0.289	Homoscedastic

Test	Statistic	Prob.	Conclusion
Jarque-Bera (Normality)	4.56	0.102	Normally distributed
Ramsey RESET (Specification)	1.78	0.183	Correctly specified

Every test that evaluates issues in the model indicates that our model is accurate. There is no evidence of problems such as the data being correlated over time, variations in data, the absence of patterns, or errors in the model's configuration. The tests referred to as CUSUM and CUSUM-sq (not presented here) also indicate that the model numbers remain relatively stable throughout the timeframe we examined.

4.9 Robustness Checks

To ensure our findings are robust, we perform additional verifications:

Alternative Extraction Cost Metrics: We recalibrate the model applying various extraction cost specifications, such as costs per barrel of reserves operational expenses that exclude capital investments and inflation-adjusted costs utilizing distinct base years. The key finding that increased extraction costs correlate with growth remains consistent across all tests, with values ranging from -0.19 to -0.28 .

Sub-sample Analysis: We split the data into two sections, prior to 2003 and following 2003, due to rule changes. The relationship of extraction costs to lower growth is seen in both panels. It is more stable after 2003 (-0.31) than before 2003 (-0.18). That may mean the economy is more integrated, and the government more able to absorb cost hikes.

Alternative Lag Structures: We tested for lags of from 1 to 4 periods in both the VECM and ARDL models. While the numbers vary somewhat, the negative relationship between extraction costs and growth is statistically significant across all specifications..

5. Discussion

5.1 Interpretation of Key Findings

The empirical results show that the oil production costs have an adverse impact on Iraqi economic growth. Iraq's long-run elasticity is -0.23 , meaning its economy is somewhat sensitive to changes in oil production costs. Over the period under study, a 10% increase in oil extraction costs would reduce GDP by 2.3%.

This is an important result for understanding how the Iraqi economy works and the problems faced by oil-dependent economies in general. The degree to which this relates shows how Iraq is also reliant on oil. Oil revenues make up the majority of the government's finances and the export earnings. With the rising costs of oil extraction, the total rent from oil is getting lower.

This reduces government revenue, constrains public investment, and depresses the broader economy. The error correction coefficient -0.387 reflects that the economy reacts relatively rapidly to extraction cost shocks and that most of the adjustment occurs in 2-3 years. Extraction costs and economic growth exhibit a two-sided causality, such that each can also affect the other and lead to a more severe boom and bust cycle in the economy.

During an economic downturn, a corresponding decrease in government and private investment leads to deferred maintenance – culminating in crumbling and, increasingly, dangerous roads and bridges. All of this drives up extraction costs, which then impede growth. This could set up a cycle that keeps an economy in stasis for periods too long.

5.2 Differing from Global Data

Our findings are consistent with the literature on the resource curse, though the magnitude and channel are different from those in other oil producers. Unlike Norway, as studied in Bjornland et al. (2019), Iraq is more responsive to extraction costs, which can be explained by its more diversified economy, weaker institutions, and less technological know-how. Iraq's negative extraction cost elasticity at -0.23 is far outside the usual range of -0.08 to -0.15 for developed oil producing countries. This magnitude is less than the minus 0.35 to minus 0.45 range found in some sub-Saharan African oil countries, as outlined in Ross, 2019. This is a median position such that Iraq has enough oil reserves and production capacity to insulate

cost shocks but on the other hand, Iraq still has weak institutions and virtually no economic diversification. Iraq exhibits a fast error correction rate of 38.7 percent which is higher than those slower adjustments often found in more diversified economies. This means that the concentrated economy of Iraq exaggerates the effect on the short-term and the response to the shocks in the oil industry.

5.3 Transmission Mechanisms

Extraction costs have a substantial impact on the economic growth of Iraq through a number of channels:

Fiscal Channel: extraction expenses reduce government oil revenue. Government revenue is over 95% from oil exports. When oil revenue falls, the government is compelled to cut spending. This leads to lower government investment and reduced social programmes. All of this is detrimental to development. There is a relationship between oil revenue and GDP growth. The long-run elasticity is 0.67, which shows the importance of this channel.

Investment Channel: Rising extraction costs reduce the profitability of oil projects and deter investment by both domestic and foreign firms in the oil industry. Due to the large role of the oil sector in the Iraqi economy, lower oil investments have a multiplier effect across various sectors of the economy. This happens through direct connections to different sectors.

External Sector Channel: Higher extraction costs lead to lower revenues from oil exports. This affects the balance of payments and foreign currency availability. This can lead to import restrictions, pressure for the currency to depreciate and reduced access to the inputs and equipment needed for growth.

Confidence Channel: Higher extraction costs are often a sign of problems within the oil industry. These problems may be related to security, infrastructure or weak institutions. This can reduce investor confidence. It also leads to capital flight, making economic growth harder.

5.4 Structural Break Analysis Implications

The Observance of Disruptions in 1991, 2003 and 2007: The 1991, 2003 and 2007 Release Sciences from Close-Up: Disruptions grew larger than the disruptions between those years The years 1991, 2003, and 2007 The recognition that the 1991, 2003 and 2007 editions were underrated can now make use of a direct comparison/competing growth analysis to look at factors of change growth rates illustrates the impact of large political and economic events for the neighboring growth relationship between Extract and Growth. Following the Gulf War in 1991, destruction of infrastructure, restricted access to technology and difficult operating conditions along with UN sanctions imposed a dramatic rise in costs associated with extraction.

Such as the 2003 Iraq War and regime change disrupted production. Infrastructure was initially destroyed. Yet innovative technology and foreign capital allowed the long-term costs of extraction to decline. Spiking violence and the global financial crisis of 2007, dramatically amplified the costs of pursuing oil.

These structural breaks signify that Iraq's economy has undergone a transformation vis-a-vis oil extraction costs, turning more sensitive to cost fluctuations as the country became more integrated into markets and oil-dependent after the political transition in 2003. I know the importance of those changes.

5.5 Policy Implications

The findings have important policy implications for the design of economic development policy in Iraq:

Lowering Extraction Costs: Given that extraction costs are a major barrier to growth, policies to reduce these costs should be at the forefront of growth strategies. This includes investing in extraction technologies, improving security, modernizing infrastructure, and increasing operational efficiency through better management and international partnerships.

Economic Diversification: The substantial sensitivity of growth to extraction costs highlights the urgency for economic diversification. Reducing dependence on oil revenues would reduce the exposure of the economy to fluctuations in extraction costs. It would provide alternative sources of growth when costs for oil production are high.

Financial Management: The government should create stabilization funds. Use counter-cyclical fiscal policies to insulate the economy from swings in extraction costs. This entails building reserves in times of low extraction costs so that public investment can continue in high cost periods.

Institutional Development: Improving governance, reducing corruption and strengthening frameworks can reduce extraction costs by creating a more predictable and efficient environment for oil companies.

5.6 Limitations and Future Research

This study has some limitations, despite the fact that it reveals some insights into the relationship between extraction costs and growth in Iraq. First, the small sample size of 33 observations reduces the power of some tests, although this is partially compensated by the use of relevant small-sample methods. Second, the quality of data used to estimate extraction costs under war and sanctions may be subject to considerable error. Such problems were reduced by using multiple data sources and cross-checks. Third, the study focuses on general relationships and does not examine regional or sectoral differences within Iraq, which may reveal more information about the relationship between extraction costs and growth. Future research could incorporate data to test for differences in the relationship between extraction costs and growth across various regions, investigate how specific institutions affect the relationship between extraction costs and growth, and/or combine data from

other oil-producing nations impacted by conflict in order to identify common patterns and inform evidence-based policy recommendations.

6. Conclusion

To the best of my knowledge, this is the first paper to analyse the oil production costs and economic growth nexus in Iraq through an econometric approach. Employing advanced time series methods that take Iraq's economic and political environment into account, the authors.

The main result that is reported is that a 10 percent increase in the cost of oil extraction is associated with a long-run decrease in Iraq's GDP by about 2.3 percent. 30.8 percent of this adjustment takes place within a year.

A stable negative relationship is supported by the VECM and ARDL estimations. Granger causality tests reveal a bi-directional causality between oil extraction costs and economic growth. This suggests that in the event of an economic collapse in Iraq, oil extraction costs could rise and further damage the economy.

The analysis of the structural break shows that there are changes in 1991, 2003 and 2007. These are the Gulf War, the Iraq War and the peak of violence, respectively. The disruptions show that political instability and war have transformed the financial system of Iraq and have increased its sensitivity to the costs of oil extraction.

The findings have important policy implications for Iraqi leaders. First, reducing the costs of oil extraction by improving technology, developing infrastructure and enhancing security should be a top priority. Moreover, the high dependence on oil extraction costs highlights the need for Iraq to diversify its economy to reduce its reliance on oil revenue. Better fiscal regulations, such as stabilization funds and counter-cyclical measures, could help protect the economy from shocks of rising oil extraction costs.

The paper is the first econometric analysis of oil extraction costs in a large oil-producing developing country and adds to the literature in the energy economics field. The tests that incorporate breaks and different cointegration tests provide a good model that other resource-dependent countries can use.

The implications are wider than just Iraq, applying to other developing countries whose economies are heavily dependent on natural resources. The finding that costs of oil extraction can create cycles that can amplify fluctuations suggests that the resource curse might be worse than believed when factoring in changes in production costs. This is relevant for development policies in resource-rich nations with weak institutions and limited diversification.

For the community, the results underscore the importance of investing in institution building, infrastructure and promoting diversification in resource-dependent economies. International banks and aid organizations should factor in the costs of oil extraction when designing support packages for developing countries that produce oil.

Iraq's long-term growth strategy must focus on breaking the dependence of its economy on oil extraction costs. Continued investment in people, infrastructure and institutions is necessary. Even though Iraq has oil wealth, that wealth needs to be converted into sustainable wealth by reducing the costs of oil extraction and diversifying the economy.

The research continues to be relevant as Iraq seeks to rebuild its economy and its oil industry after years of chaos. As global energy markets shift and new extraction techniques emerge, the impact of oil extraction costs on performance will be a key driver of Iraq's long-term prospects.

To sum up, the results of this study suggest that the oil extraction cost is an under-examined factor of economic growth in resource-dependent countries. The strong negative result for Iraq together with the feedback loops and policy implications offers insights for researchers and policy makers on a sustainable future in oil exporting countries. The methods and findings set a base for studies on oil extraction costs, in other resource-heavy economies over time.

Acknowledgments

The writers would like to thank the Central Bank of Iraq and the Iraqi Ministry of Oil for giving us access to information for this study. We are also thankful to the people who took part in the Middle East Economic Association Annual Conference and the International Association for Energy Economics symposium for their suggestions on an earlier version of this paper. We would like to say a thank you to Dr. Bassim Al-Hashimi from the University of Baghdad for his thoughts on how the oil industry in Iraq works and, to the people who reviewed the paper without revealing their names for their good advice that made the paper much better. Any mistakes that are still there are the fault of the writers.

References

- [1] S. M. Abbas and B. M. Al-Shuhaib, "Oil sector reforms and economic recovery in post-conflict Iraq: A regional analysis," *Energy Policy*, vol. 134, p. 110943, 2019.
- [2] S. S. Alkafaji and M. H. Abdulkareem, "Oil revenues and economic growth nexus in Iraq: Evidence from time series analysis (1980-2018)," *Resources Policy*, vol. 73, p. 102188, 2021.
- [3] F. L. Al-Rubaie and K. M. Hassan, "Efficiency analysis of Iraq's oil extraction operations: A comparative study with regional producers," *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, vol. 195, p. 107846, 2020.
- [4] N. Apergis and J. E. Payne, "The emissions, energy consumption, and growth nexus: Evidence from the commonwealth of independent states," *Energy Policy*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 650-655, 2010.
- [5] R. Arezki and M. K. Nabli, "Natural resources, volatility, and inclusive growth: Perspectives from the Middle East and North Africa," International Monetary Fund, IMF Working Paper WP/12/111, 2012.
- [6] H. C. Bjornland, F. M. Nordvik, and M. Rohrer, "Supply flexibility in the shale patch: Evidence from North Dakota," *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 857-878, 2019.
- [7] BP p.l.c., *BP Statistical Review of World Energy 2023*, 72nd ed., BP p.l.c., 2023.
- [8] Central Bank of Iraq, *Annual Statistical Bulletin 2022*, Central Bank of Iraq, 2022.
- [9] D. A. Dickey and W. A. Fuller, "Likelihood ratio statistics for autoregressive time series with a unit root," *Econometrica*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 1057-1072, 1981.
- [10] U.S. Energy Information Administration, *International Energy Statistics*, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.eia.gov/international/data/world>
- [11] C. W. J. Granger, "Investigating causal relations by econometric models and cross-spectral methods," *Econometrica*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 424-438, 1969.
- [12] J. D. Hamilton, "Understanding crude oil prices," *Energy Journal*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 179-206, 2009.
- [13] J. M. Hartwick, "Intergenerational equity and the investing of rents from exhaustible resources," *American Economic Review*, vol. 67, no. 5, pp. 972-974, 1977.
- [14] H. Hotelling, "The economics of exhaustible resources," *Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 137-175, 1931.
- [15] International Energy Agency, *Oil Market Report - March 2023*, International Energy Agency, 2023.
- [16] International Monetary Fund, *Iraq: 2022 Article IV Consultation - Staff Report*, IMF Country Report No. 22/341, International Monetary Fund, 2022.
- [17] Republic of Iraq Ministry of Oil, *Annual Statistical Report 2022*, Republic of Iraq Ministry of Oil, 2023.
- [18] A. M. Jiyad, "Iraq's oil sector: From nationalization to privatization and back?" *Middle East Economic Survey*, vol. 64, no. 15, pp. 12-18, 2021.
- [19] S. Johansen, "Statistical analysis of cointegration vectors," *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, vol. 12, no. 2-

3, pp. 231-254, 1988.

[20] S. Johansen and K. Juselius, "Maximum likelihood estimation and inference on cointegration—with applications to the demand for money," *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 169-210, 1990.

[21] L. Kilian, "Not all oil price shocks are alike: Disentangling demand and supply shocks in the crude oil market," *American Economic Review*, vol. 99, no. 3, pp. 1053-1069, 2009.

[22] D. Kwiatkowski, P. C. B. Phillips, P. Schmidt, and Y. Shin, "Testing the null hypothesis of stationarity against the alternative of a unit root," *Journal of Econometrics*, vol. 54, no. 1-3, pp. 159-178, 1992.

[23] J. G. MacKinnon, "Numerical distribution functions for unit root and cointegration tests," *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 601-618, 1996.

[24] P. K. Narayan and R. Smyth, "What determines migration flows from low-income to high-income countries? An empirical investigation of Fiji–U.S. migration 1972–2001," *Contemporary Economic Policy*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 332-342, 2006.

[25] Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries, *Annual Statistical Bulletin 2023*, OPEC Secretariat, 2023.

[26] I. Ozturk, "A literature survey on energy–growth nexus," *Energy Policy*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 340-349, 2010.

[27] M. H. Pesaran, Y. Shin, and R. J. Smith, "Bounds testing approaches to the analysis of level relationships," *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 289-326, 2001.

[28] P. C. B. Phillips and P. Perron, "Testing for a unit root in time series regression," *Biometrika*, vol. 75, no. 2, pp. 335-346, 1988.

[29] M. L. Ross, "What have we learned about the resource curse?" *Annual Review of Political Science*, vol. 18, pp. 239-259, 2019.

[30] J. D. Sachs and A. M. Warner, "Natural resource abundance and economic growth," National Bureau of Economic Research, Working Paper No. w5398, 1995.

[31] J. D. Sachs and A. M. Warner, "The curse of natural resources," *European Economic Review*, vol. 45, no. 4-6, pp. 827-838, 2001.

[32] R. M. Solow, "Intergenerational equity and exhaustible resources," *Review of Economic Studies*, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 29-45, 1974.

[33] F. Van der Ploeg, "Natural resources: Curse or blessing?" *Journal of Economic Literature*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 366-420, 2011.

[34] World Bank Group, *Iraq Economic Monitor: Charting a Path to Sustainable Growth*, World Bank, 2023.

[35] E. Zivot and D. W. K. Andrews, "Further evidence on the great crash, the oil-price shock, and the unit-root hypothesis," *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 251-270, 1992.

[36] W. K. Al-Ani and H. S. Mahmood, "The impact of oil sector development on Iraq's macroeconomic stability: A vector autoregression approach," *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 121-129, 2018.

Procedia of Social Sciences and Humanities International
Conference of Fostering Sustainable Economic Growth and Innovation through
Research and Community Engagement

- [37] A. Al-Gasaymeh and A. T. Kasongo, "Oil price instability and economic growth in MENA countries," *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 12-19, 2017.
- [38] U. Al-Mulali and C. N. B. C. Sab, "The impact of energy consumption and CO2 emission on the economic growth and financial development in the Sub Saharan African countries," *Energy*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 180-186, 2012.
- [39] R. Arezki and F. van der Ploeg, "Do natural resources depress income per capita?" *Review of Development Economics*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 504-521, 2011.
- [40] M. E. H. Arouri and C. Rault, "Oil prices and stock markets in GCC countries: Empirical evidence from panel analysis," *International Journal of Finance and Economics*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 242-253, 2012.
- [41] R. M. Auty, *Resource abundance and economic development*, Oxford University Press, 2001.
- [42] C. N. Brunnschweiler and E. H. Bulte, "The resource curse revisited and revised: A tale of paradoxes and red herrings," *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 248-264, 2008.
- [43] T. V. D. V. Cavalcanti, K. Mohaddes, and M. Raissi, "Growth, development and natural resources: New evidence using a heterogeneous panel analysis," *Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 305-318, 2011.
- [44] W. M. Corden and J. P. Neary, "Booming sector and de-industrialisation in a small open economy," *Economic Journal*, vol. 92, no. 368, pp. 825-848, 1982.
- [45] I. Elbadawi and A. Gelb, "Oil, economic diversification and development in the Arab world," Economic Research Forum, Working Paper Series No. 0135, 2010.
- [46] J. A. Frankel, "The natural resource curse: A survey," National Bureau of Economic Research, Working Paper No. 15836, 2010.
- [47] T. Gylfason, "Natural resources, education, and economic development," *European Economic Review*, vol. 45, no. 4-6, pp. 847-859, 2001.
- [48] M. B. Hassine, "The curse and blessing of natural resources in North African countries," *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 128-140, 2014.
- [49] S. Hossain, "An econometric analysis for CO2 emissions, energy consumption, economic growth, foreign trade and urbanization of Japan," *Low Carbon Economy*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 92-105, 2012.
- [50] M. Humphreys, J. D. Sachs, and J. E. Stiglitz, Eds., *Escaping the resource curse*, Columbia University Press, 2007.
- [51] H. Issa and R. Lafrance, "Canada's productivity performance and potential over the medium term," Bank of Canada, Discussion Paper 2006-16, 2006.
- [52] T. L. Karl, *The paradox of plenty: Oil booms and petro-states*, University of California Press, 1997.
- [53] D. Lederman and W. F. Maloney, "Neither curse nor destiny: Introduction to natural resources and development," in *Natural resources: Neither curse nor destiny*, D. Lederman and W. F. Maloney, Eds., Stanford University Press, 2007, pp. 1-14.
- [54] H. Mehlum, K. Moene, and R. Torvik, "Institutions and the resource curse," *Economic Journal*, vol. 116, no. 508, pp. 1-20, 2006.

*Procedia of Social Sciences and Humanities International
Conference of Fostering Sustainable Economic Growth and Innovation through
Research and Community Engagement*

- [55] E. Papyrakis and R. Gerlagh, "The resource curse hypothesis and its transmission channels," *Journal of Comparative Economics*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 181-193, 2004.
- [56] J. A. Robinson, R. Torvik, and T. Verdier, "Political foundations of the resource curse," *Journal of Development Economics*, vol. 79, no. 2, pp. 447-468, 2006.
- [57] J. P. C. Stijns, "Natural resource abundance and economic growth revisited," *Resources Policy*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 107-130, 2005.
- [58] P. Stevens, "Resource impact: Curse or blessing? A literature survey," *Journal of Energy Literature*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 3-42, 2003.
- [59] R. Torvik, "Why do some resource-abundant countries succeed while others do not?" *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 241-256, 2009.
- [60] United Nations Development Programme, *Iraq Human Development Report 2022: Climate Change and Human Development in Iraq*, UNDP Iraq, 2022.
- [61] N. Usui, "Dutch disease and policy adjustments to the oil boom: A comparative study of Indonesia and Mexico," *Resources Policy*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 151-162, 1997.
- [62] G. Wright and J. Czelusta, "The myth of the resource curse," *Challenge*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 6-38, 2004.
- [63] K. Yuxiang and Z. Chen, "Resource abundance and financial development: Evidence from China," *Resources Policy*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 72-79, 2011.

Appendix A: Data Sources and Construction

A.1 Oil Extraction Cost Data Construction

Oil extraction costs per barrel were created by using information from places to make sure the numbers are correct and complete. The main sources of data are as follows:

Operational Costs: Direct costs for work like paying workers using electricity fixing things and buying supplies came from the Iraqi Ministry of Oil reports and International Oil Companies (IOCs) that work in Iraq under Production Sharing Contracts (PSCs) and Technical Service Contracts (TSCs).

Capital Expenditure: The investment costs were amortized over the expected life of oil fields, which is assumed to be 25 years on average. These activities include drilling, building facilities, laying pipelines and buying equipment. Data from: the Oil Ministry in Iraq, IEA Oil Market Reports, corporate annual reports.

Security Cost: Security, the cost of which is vital in Iraq, was calculated on the basis of the security budget of the Ministry of Oil, services of international security companies, and reports on operations in areas of conflict. Security Transportation and Processing: The costs of transporting the oil to points of sale and to initial processing were added by using the reports on the operations of the State Oil Marketing Organization (SOMO) of Iraq.

A.2 GDP and Macroeconomic Data

The real GDP series at 2010 prices is taken from the Statistical Bulletin of the Central Bank of Iraq. These data were cross-checked with the World Bank World Development Indicators and the IMF Article IV consultation reports to ensure accuracy. In the case of missing data, interpolation was done using the trends in oil production and regional GDPs for the period between 1990 and 1996.

The data for government oil revenue were collected from the Central Bank of Iraq and the budget execution reports of the Ministry of Finance. IMF staff reports. The revenue numbers were adjusted to exclude non-oil revenues. All values were then converted to 2010 prices using the GDP deflator for Iraq.

A.3 Data Quality and Validation

Because of the political and economic history in Iraq, additional verification procedures were applied. Cross-validation had to be done for each variable against a number of world sources, namely the IEA, OPEC, BP Statistical Review and EIA databases. Subsequent consistency checks verified that oil flows finances the output at oil production and world price levels, creating a remarkable consistency. Treatment of missing data dealt with missing observations that occurred during periods of conflict by using interpolation techniques – also through observing the experiences of other regional producers during analogous times. I think it's fascinating how complicated these processes are.

Appendix B: Additional Econometric Results

B.1 CUSUM and CUSUM-sq Test Results

Stability of parameters tests with CUSUM and CUSUM-sq indicate constant parameters over the period under review, as the test statistics are within 5% significant critical region. This confirms that the predicted relationships are stable even in the background of Iraq's volatile economic environment.

B.2 Impulse Response Functions

Impulse response analysis based on the VECM shows that a one-standard-deviation shock to extraction costs leads to a response in GDP growth. This negative response lasts for 4 to 5 years before the economy returns to equilibrium. The biggest effect happens in the year, after the shock. This timing matches the error correction speed found in the analysis.

B.3 Variance Decomposition

The forecast error variance decomposition shows that extraction cost shocks explain twenty-three percent of the variability in GDP growth, over a ten-year horizon. That makes extraction cost shocks the most important factor after oil price shocks which explain thirty-one percent. This underlines how extraction cost shocks on their own add to Iraq's economic volatility.

Table B.1: Forecast Error Variance Decomposition of GDP Growth

Period	GDP Growth	Extraction Costs	Oil Production	Oil Prices	Gov. Revenues	Investment
1	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	87.32	6.84	2.15	2.89	0.56	0.24
4	71.45	15.67	4.23	6.78	1.34	0.53
6	58.23	20.34	6.89	11.45	2.31	0.78
8	48.67	22.15	9.12	16.34	2.98	0.74
10	43.21	23.45	10.67	18.89	3.12	0.66

Note: Values represent the percentage contribution to forecast error variance of GDP growth at different time horizons.

Author Biographies

Dr. Ahmed Hassan Al-Mahmoud is Professor of Economics at the University of Baghdad, Iraq. He holds a Ph.D. in Economics from the London School of Economics and This researcher focuses on energy economics, econometric analysis and Eastern economic development. The researcher has written papers in international journals, about oil economics, resource curse theory and Iraqi economic policy. The researcher's recent work looks at how natural resources and economic development interact in conflict-affected states.

I find Dr. Sarah Elisabeth Thompson to be an inspiring scholar. Dr. Sarah Elisabeth Thompson is a Senior Lecturer in Energy Economics at Queen Mary University of London. She earned a Ph.D. From Cambridge University. Has more than fifteen years of experience in Energy Economics research. Dr. Sarah Elisabeth Thompson's work focuses on resource economics, energy policy and econometric modeling of the relationships between energy and growth. She has also worked as a consultant for the World Bank the International Energy Agency and several government agencies, on Energy Economics policy.

Dr. Mohammad Reza Hosseini is Research Director at the Institute for Energy Economics and Policy University of Tehran. Dr. Mohammad Reza Hosseini has a Ph.D. In Energy Economics from the University of Surrey. Dr. Mohammad Reza Hosseini focuses on oil market analysis OPEC studies and Middle Eastern energy policy. Dr. Mohammad Reza Hosseini's research has been published in leading energy economics journals. Dr. Mohammad Reza Hosseini gives advice, to government agencies and international organizations on energy market developments.